IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA . CASE NO.

. ELIZABETH CITY, NC . OCTOBER 22, 2015

V. . OCTOBER 22, 2015 . 5:15-CR-147-FL1

JOSEPH MOORE

TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE TERRENCE W. BOYLE
JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE UNITED STATES: JASON KELLHOFER, ESQUIRE

ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY 800 FEDERAL BUILDING 310 NEW BERN AVENUE RALEIGH, NC 27601-1461

FOR THE DEFENDANT: R. ANDREW McCOPPIN, ESQUIRE

PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE
150 FAYETTEVILLE STREET MALL

SUITE 450

RALEIGH, NC 27601

COURT REPORTER: MS. SANDRA A. GRAHAM, CVR

Proceedings recorded by stenomask, transcript produced from dictation.

THE COURT: You're Joseph Moore?

MR. MOORE: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you want to say anything about your sentence

or your case?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MOORE: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. MOORE: I've been charged with lying to federal agents about lying about international and domestic terrorism, and for that I am absolutely responsible and accept full responsibility for that action. Whenever I first become a Muslim back in February of 2013 and come back to Raleigh, the first Muslims that I had met were a Muslim who was talking about leaving to go overseas and a Muslim who was obsessed with Syria. And these were the first people that I was exposed to upon becoming Muslim. But with time and exposure to the community and learning the truth about Islam, I distanced myself from them and their ideology, but the mistakes of being a part of such bad company and not being forthright with federal agents whenever they asked me about said company is the consequences that I am dealing with today. And for that I, you know, am eternally remorseful and apologetic, and I'll have to deal with this for the rest of my life as a convicted felon, being stripped of rights and having to carry this moniker with me into every job interview and into every college application

going forth. And this doesn't take away from the mistake that I made, but, you know, in the time away from them, not as a result of fear of going to prison, once I was able to talk to my attorney and sit with federal agents again they found me to be forthright and beyond that helpful. I was born in America and, you know, when it comes to national safety and things like this, it's not just my concern as an American citizen but also as a Muslim and as a human being to make sure that people are safe. And I expressed to federal agents that if I could be helpful in any way, shape, form or fashion with anything that I did know that I would be more than willing to help deter any type of radicalism or extremism in the community. someone who volunteers and teaches and works with tens of dozens of youth every weekend for the past year and a half, I have sought to teach them against radicalism, against extremism in their religion. And all these character letters and things like that, I hope you were able to look at, you know, would have shown you the character that I have grown into with time. And I know that it's a long shot and that the odds are stacked against me, but I would hope that at your discretion upon looking at all these evidences that you would see that it would be more beneficial to the community to have me around. Obviously I've planned my future. I wanted to be married next

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

summer, I wanted to finish up at Wake Tech this semester and continue into higher education at a major university, but obviously all these things would be deterred if I was put in prison. I don't have a history of violence or anything like that, and I would not act out against the government or anything to that effect. And I would hope that at your discretion that you would find in your heart, even if it was the maximum, to simply forego imprisoning me and put me on probation so that I can continue in the service that I have been a part of and that has made me a better human being.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. McCoppin, his guideline report establishes an offense level of 23, criminal history category 1, do you have any objections to that?

MR. McCOPPIN: No. That's calculated correctly.

THE COURT: So that's a quideline range of 46 to 57 months?

MR. McCOPPIN: It is.

2.3

THE COURT: Do you want to speak about his sentence?

MR. McCOPPIN: I do, if I may. Your Honor, I previously forwarded to Judge Flanagan well over a dozen letters of recommendation.

THE COURT: You sent those to Judge Flanagan?

MR. McCOPPIN: I did, and I checked with your clerk earlier this week to make sure you have them. I have the hard copies here if you would like. They were filed a week in

1 advance as per the rules.

2.3

THE COURT: We've got them. Let me take a look at them for a minute.

MR. McCOPPIN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Where is the terrorism? Is it internal in places like Syria and Lebanon and Iraq, or is it external. Is it terrorism that's directed at the United States. I don't get it. And I'm not trying to be facetious at all. I'm trying to be extremely pointed in trying to figure this out. Like if these guys wanted to go to a Middle Eastern country in order to do something in that country, but it's not directed at the United States, is that terrorism under American law?

MR. McCOPPIN: I understand that it is, yes. Promoting terrorism outside the United States.

THE COURT: Not directed against the United States?

MR. McCOPPIN: I believe the statute refers to any foreign government as part of the element. If the Government would like to --

THE COURT: Well, I don't want them to talk right now.

MR. McCOPPIN: I understand. I can give you some more information, as I understand it, if you would like.

THE COURT: I mean the people in Ceylon which has a new name, Sri Lanka, maybe. Who knew? The Tamiles; is that what they are? Who knows? Do you know?

MR. McCOPPIN: I don't.

THE COURT: No. They're chopping each other up on a regular basis for decades leading into centuries leading into millennia, and do we care about that, I mean, in the United States? Is that a burden of federal law? And then transpose it to Syria, which if you're a little older and pay attention to history it used to be the UAR, United Arab Republic. And it was Egypt and Jordan and Syria, I believe, when Nasser was the strong man. You don't remember any of this?

- MR. McCOPPIN: Only from studying history.
- **THE COURT:** And now it's Syria.
- MR. McCOPPIN: And the British left and it kind of divided up.
 - THE COURT: And then Syria had a government or has a government or doesn't have a government, and there are an assortment of people who are trying to kill each other there in order to claim sovereignty over that. And so is that what these two guys were into?
 - MR. McCOPPIN: As I understand it, both of them had been making plans to travel to Syria to actively participate in creating what they saw as a Muslim homeland.
- THE COURT: Well, it's a Muslim homeland now.
- MR. McCOPPIN: Well, I guess it depends on how you define
- 25 it. Perhaps very true to the Qur'an interpretation of

1 their religion.

THE COURT: And is that directed as terrorism against the United States?

MR. McCOPPIN: Well, it's directed against the government of Syria, which as I understand it likely provides for jurisdiction.

THE COURT: It does what?

MR. McCOPPIN: As I understand it, if you are going to create -- if you are supposedly going to promote terrorism, provide material support to a terrorist organization -- a terrorist organization as I understand it from the case law doesn't have to be directed at the United States. If there's a terrorist organization targeting another government --

THE COURT: So the Assad government is the target? But I thought Assad and Putin were just in Russia entering into a pact.

MR. McCOPPIN: And against the United States and Turkey in large part, yes.

THE COURT: Uh-huh. My enemy's enemy kind of thing?

MR. McCOPPIN: It depends on the day.

THE COURT: And so this fellow here didn't tell the truth about the purported intentions of the other two guys?

MR. McCOPPIN: Correct. The day before the other two individuals were arrested by federal agents, federal agents

came to Mr. Moore here, and they asked him some questions.

As I understand it --

THE COURT: And he was untruthful in his answers?

MR. McCOPPIN: He was. Mr. Moore didn't fabricate a lie, but when asked specific questions, did he see them with guns or weapons, he said no.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.3

MR. McCOPPIN: So it's clearly a lie, but he didn't make up a story. And there was a second question about did Mr. Moore know anything about these other two people planning to go overseas and fight to establish a Muslim homeland. And again he said, no, which was clearly a lie, and those two statements are the reason we are here today.

THE COURT: That's the gravamen of the charge against him?

MR. McCOPPIN: It is.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else?

MR. McCOPPIN: Yes. If I could take just a few minutes and give you a little bit of background.

Mr. Moore is a young man. He grew up and lived in a home with his mother and his brother. When he finished the ninth grade in Kentucky they moved to the Raleigh area. He was very astute in religious study even as a high schooler.

THE COURT: What religion -- what faith was he?

MR. McCOPPIN: He was Christian.

THE COURT: Was he raised in a particular denomination?

- 1 MR. McCOPPIN: Seventh Day Adventist, Christian.
- 2 THE COURT: Okay.
- 3 MR. McCOPPIN: And his mother is here and she still is a
- 4 member of that church.
- 5 THE COURT: So he was born into a Seventh Day Adventist
- 6 family, or not?
- 7 MR. McCOPPIN: I believe so, yes.
- 8 MR. MOORE: I was born in a household that believed in God
- 9 and the Bible. And later as I got older and studied the
- 10 Bible I became a youth minister for a Seventh Day Adventist
- church, which later sponsored me to go to a Christian
- seminary school, Mount Pisgah Academy. And that's what
- actually caused me to move from Kentucky to North Carolina.
- 14 THE COURT: So you were the only member of the Seventh Day
- Adventist religion in your family?
- 16 MR. MOORE: My mother, she was assigned in Iraq.
- 17 **THE COURT:** Where?
- 18 MR. MOORE: She was in Iraq.
- 19 **THE COURT:** Doing what?
- 20 MR. MOORE: She was a part of the military. She was with
- 21 the --
- MR. McCOPPIN: The U.S. military?
- MR. MOORE: Yes. She was with the 91st Infantry group out
- of Fort Campbell.
- 25 **THE COURT:** An active duty member of the Armed Forces?

- MR. MOORE: Yes, sir.
- 2 **THE COURT:** Your mother?
- 3 MR. MOORE: Yes, sir. When she came back upon seeing how I
- 4 was involved in the church she actually became a part of
- 5 the church.

1

- 6 THE COURT: Of the Seventh Day Adventist Church?
- 7 MR. MOORE: Yes.
- 8 THE COURT: So at birth your family had some affinity for
- 9 Christianity.
- 10 MR. MOORE: Yes, sir.
- 11 THE COURT: And later you became denominational in the
- 12 Seventh Day Adventist Church.
- 13 MR. MOORE: Yes, sir.
- 14 THE COURT: And then later in your maturity or your
- development you became a believer of Islam?
- 16 MR. MOORE: Yes, sir. I had gone to seminary school, I had
- read the Bible 13 times cover to cover in seven different
- 18 versions. And I talked to a friend of mine who had become
- 19 a Muslim, and it just answered some questions that I never
- 20 had, so I kind of considered it being like a true religion
- 21 sort of, I guess.
- 22 **THE COURT:** Okay. Thank you.
- MR. McCOPPIN: So his local congregation sponsored his
- tuition to go to the high school seminary where he stayed
- for awhile. He was doing fine there, but moved back home

because he didn't like being away from his mother and the family. He graduated from Millbrook in Raleigh. He has had several jobs, as the pre-sentence report indicates, continuous employment. There's a small break of getting workers' comp injury from moving boxes in some sort of shipping warehouse. But he has had employment, he has no criminal history. You've seen the letters, and he's written a two-page, single spaced acceptance of responsibility statement that's included in the record.

So the question is what do we do with this man. Who has come here to stand up for Mr. Moore.

(Several people stand)

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. McCOPPIN: Thank you. Your Honor, there would have been more, but we changed the sentencing day and time from yesterday until today, so some people couldn't adjust their schedule.

I double-checked with probation. A 1001 violation starts at an offense level six. If it's a case that involves some --

THE COURT: Is that what this is, a 1001 violation?

MR. McCOPPIN: It is.

THE COURT: An untrue statement to a federal official?

MR. McCOPPIN: That's all it can be. That's what it is, and it is serious, but in the -- relatively speaking I

would suggest that it may fall on the less serious side of offenses that you see here on a regular basis.

So we start off with what would be an offense level six.

THE COURT: It's not a sworn statement. It's just material.

MR. McCOPPIN: That's correct. What I understand happened here is law enforcement, federal agents, came to Mr. Moore, identified themselves, we're federal agents. We want to talk to you.

THE COURT: And materiality is still a question of law?

McCOPPIN: Well, it's a question of fact. I would believe the jury would have to decide it at trial.

THE COURT: If you were in a jury trial involving 1001, does the jury -- I think maybe now they do, but at one time they didn't -- whether the content of the statement was material or not was an issue for the judge and whether you said it was an issue for the jury.

MR. McCOPPIN: I am not qualified to answer that, basically.

THE COURT: No big deal.

2.3

MR. McCOPPIN: But what we have here is him answering falsely. After he had made those statements --

THE COURT: Well, you can give them a false statement. You just can't give them a materially false statement.

MR. McCOPPIN: Correct.

THE COURT: You know, if they say to you, good morning, I'm Agent Special from the FBI and here's my coat and look on the back of it, it says FBI. How old are you? I'm 59. You're 59. Okay. I'll write that down. And actually you're 58. That's immaterial unless you're trying to get a benefit at the age of 59.

MR. McCOPPIN: All true.

THE COURT: Even if you know it's false, even if you're lying about your age.

MR. McCOPPIN: Correct. Materiality is an element of the offense.

THE COURT: And materiality means important.

MR. McCOPPIN: Yes.

THE COURT: Critical. Something upon which people rely.

MR. McCOPPIN: Yes. The circumstances of this case make this defendant's material false statement irrelevant to the case. And the reason we know that is the very day after he made these false statements the Government charged those other two people with attempting to provide material support. There was no delay caused by Mr. Moore's false statement that delayed the Government proceeding. They had a person embedded in that group that included those other two people who had been making tape recordings and filing reports with the government that led to the arrest of those

other two people. So the statements by Mr. Moore insofar as affecting the government's case against the other two people is irrelevant in their proceeding against them and ultimately getting guilty pleas. So I would agree that his false statements were material to the prosecution of those other cases but were largely irrelevant because they already had tape recordings, eye witness accounts by the agent, and ultimately their confessions now.

So what we have here is we go from six points to 14 points in the guidelines because it involves issues related to international terrorism. And since it relates to international terrorism, then there's another 12 point enhancement that goes on top of the 14. So we go from what would have arguably been a level six offense for a 1001 violation all the way up to a 26 minus three for acceptance of responsibility.

Mr. Moore's history and the letters that you have before you all talk about public work for the community, his Muslim faith, teaching young people that well predates the Government coming to him and telling him that he's a target. And I present that to you to remind you of the sincerity of his conviction. He has finished the course work and the training that qualifies him to be -- what I would consider to be a preacher in the Muslim faith. All he has to do is finish some sort of ceremony that was to be

conducted I think this weekend, correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MR. MOORE: I have been studying under one of the top teachers that comes to our community and for like, you know, the education of Islam. He gives out a certification that says you are qualified to speak on certain matters of the religion, whether it be like our belief or how we come to the understanding of like different rulings and things like this. And he's coming the last weekend of the month, and I was supposed to, God willing, get the qualifications from him then, so that I can further use that as a part of educating the youth in the community at large.

MR. McCOPPIN: So what it allows him to do is lead prayer at the mosque and to speak to the congregation. sure it is as high as to be ordained as someone in the Christian faith might understand it to be. But it's very significant. And what we have here is someone who by his own fault has committed these offenses but has tremendous other qualities that are worthy of consideration. young man, he's going to preach at the mosque, he's going to talk to his congregation with others. And this is going to be the sermon that he gives every year about his experience with law enforcement and the consequences and why people need to do the right thing. And so much more than other cases it places you in a more difficult role. Do you send him to prison for a long time to remind people

about you can't be dishonest and not give information about them.

THE COURT: Why would the presence of guns in the possession of the two other guys -- why was that material? Was there some supposition that they would get on a plane and carry a couple of guns with them and fly -- like a shotgun and fly to Damascus and get off and say I'm here with my .410, let me at them.

MR. McCOPPIN: Not in the discovery I received.

2.5

THE COURT: Well, I mean what's the relevance of the fact that they had guns? Certainly no one is getting on an airplane with a couple of shotguns and flying to the Middle East and getting off and thereby engaging in military or quasi-military activities, no one.

MR. McCOPPIN: Absolutely correct. And it was only -- to learn about firearms. None of them were prohibited persons at the time as far as I know, and so it was an otherwise lawful activity. The thing that stuck with me as his attorney trying to advise him was, did you know about them having these discussions about going overseas and making these plans and preparing to do certain things. And he said, no. That's what I, as his counsel, saw as a material element that the jury would likely stick on and provide as a basis for his conviction.

And since all of this has happened a year later after

these other folks were arrested, the FBI and the agents came back and talked to him, he acknowledged that he was untruthful with them. He has been debriefed with them at least twice. And I was with him for at least part of -
THE COURT: Where does this crime -- it's 1001, which can apply to anything. You can say, you know, I dug a hole in wetlands and lie about it. But is this part of the Patriot Act or not at all affected by the Patriot Act?

MR. McCOPPIN: I don't think the 1001 is.

THE COURT: No, 1001 has been here forever.

MR. McCOPPIN: Right. The enhancements for 14 plus another 12, I didn't check the historical history there. But I asked probation whether they could include language in the pre-sentence report letting the Court know that a variance downward might be appropriate. They thought that it was, and they included it in the very last page.

THE COURT: Well, if it was just a false statement, you know, the EPA comes to your backyard, and they say, we see some cypress trees here. Uhm, this is a wetland; did you dig that ditch? No. But you did dig the ditch. Would it be a level six as the base offense level?

MR. HARDISON: Your Honor, that would likely fall under 2B1.1, which would start off with a level six.

THE COURT: And then there wouldn't be any other enhancements?

MR. HARDISON: No, sir.

MR. KELLHOFER: If I may, the only enhancement would be if there was a dollar amount of loss associated with it.

THE COURT: No. They wanted you to get your shovel back and start backfilling that ditch and then plant some wetland sensitive plants so that you would remediate the terrible damage that you had done.

MR. KELLHOFER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. McCOPPIN: Your Honor, I'm going to ask you to ask you to take all that into consideration. If anyone is worthy of a variance, I would suggest that Mr. Moore is.

THE COURT: It wouldn't be a variance if I found that the report inaccurately calculates things and that the statement is a false statement and no more than that, and it was a level six, then it would be zero to six would be his guideline.

MR. McCOPPIN: Your Honor, with your permission I would like to add an oral objection to the pre-sentence report, that the statements are not material and ask that you consider sentencing him at an offense level six.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. McCOPPIN: Thank you.

THE COURT: I'll hear from the Government.

25 MR. KELLHOFER: Your Honor, I have great concern that --

first of all, I understand if they are not material I don't understand how some people could have pled guilty to that offense.

THE COURT: I can't hear you.

2.5

MR. KELLHOFER: Materiality is an element of this offense to which he pled guilty and which is incorporated within this plea agreement. So I have great concerns with that statement. I'll move on and address I think some of your concerns, however. I think at the outset it's important to note what you've just heard is I think going to be in stark contrast to fleshing out the facts for you. And I think that fact alone is somewhat important here as to why this individual needs to recognize the materiality of his lie in his comment. So if I may, to support some of the concerns that I've heard, I would like to go through some of the facts, Your Honor.

This case began in early 2013 with an investigation of Avin Brown. As part of that investigation, Your Honor, it soon revealed that there was a strong relationship between that individual, Avin Brown, another individual by the name of Jordan, last name Jordan, and then the defendant, Mr. Moore himself. The investigation ultimately came to -- the investigation portion came to a screeching halt on 19 March 2014. And the reason for that was because Avin Brown attempted to board a flight out of Raleigh-Durham

International Airport to Turkey. He had made contact with an Al Quaeda fighter, an individual -- Al Quaeda affiliate, I should say, ISIS, the Islamic state of Iraq and Shim, otherwise known as Syria. The Government essentially -- its hand was forced at that time to move forward given that this individual was attempting to travel, and we had a good indication as to why he was attempting to travel.

THE COURT: Why was he attempting to travel?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

MR. KELLHOFER: I could better answer that through the fact that we had the opportunity now specifically to -- to proffer with that individual Brown, and he has explicitly told us why. The reason he was attempting to travel was he had fallen into what I would consider the cult like ideology of Al Quaeda, ISIS, otherwise international terrorist groups, designated groups by the United States. Insofar as what is a terrorist group. The definition is at 2331, Your Honor. And it specifically states that international terrorism includes activities involved in violent acts or acts dangerous to human life that are a violation of the criminal laws of the United States, or of any State, or that would be a criminal violation if committed within the jurisdiction of the United States or of any State; those that appear to be intended to intimidate or coerce the civilian population. Utilizing that, what Brown informed us is he intended along the line

of the ideology of these groups to begin -- to begin in Syria is currently -- it still remains -- observed as an opportunity for these groups. They believe that they've established under the Muslim faith what's known as the caliphate. Essentially it's a government that would be run under Sharia law, Islamic law. Unfortunately these individuals have twisted the Muslim faith, the Islam faith and turned that into something that should be accomplished and can be accomplished through violence. Their intent is to establish this caliphate which they view as a government that runs both your personal affairs as well as your economical and your government affairs under that specific Moreover, Syria is only a jumping point. religious law. The point is to establish the caliphate there and then move specifically into Lebanon and now on to the remainder of the world. They view as their nemesis democracy, because democracy allows for a multitude of religions. So I think Congress did a good job as well in Chapter 113B, which involves terrorism within federal criminal law. Congress specifically states at 2339B in the note section that Findings: Congress has found that international terrorism is a serious and deadly problem that threatens the vital interest of the United States.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Constitution confers upon Congress the power to punish crimes against the law of nations and to carry out

the treaty obligations of the United States.

It goes on to say why those are great concerns. They affect us, they affect our global partners. So there's a host of reasons that this action of intending to go to Syria, to join ISIS, to establish a caliphate, to move that on to world wide domination affects the United States in a great and grave manner.

THE COURT: So he's a threat to world peace?

MR. KELLHOFER: I think this individual thwarted the United States in catching those individuals, absolutely, yes.

THE COURT: Good.

2.3

MR. KELLHOFER: So in this instance what did he do, the materiality here that the government would need to show before a jury -- and it's my understanding it is a fact for the jury. At this point I think Your Honor is correct.

THE COURT: He's not being punished by the law because of his beliefs or his speech?

MR. KELLHOFER: Absolutely -- absolutely not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So he has the First Amendment freedom of religion right to exercise that and he has the First Amendment freedom to speak and to assemble?

MR. KELLHOFER: And, you know, insofar as this case -- I think this case is an excellent example of that. And here's why, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Of protecting his --

- MR. KELLHOFER: Of protecting his rights, yes.
- THE COURT: -- protecting his speech, assembly and
- 3 religious rights?

1

- 4 MR. KELLHOFER: I do, absolutely. And here's why. During
- 5 this case the Government utilized an undercover,
- 6 essentially, utilized other means and methods to obtain
- 7 evidence. And the Government ultimately concluded on that
- 8 day that Avin Brown was traveling -- there was sufficient
- 9 evidence to stop him now. And moreover he had engaged in
- 10 conspiracy.
- 11 **THE COURT:** Brown?
- 12 MR. KELLHOFER: The conspiracy that is essentially a speech
- crime to some extent, if you will.
- 14 THE COURT: But the other guy, the guy that was going to
- 15 Syria, not this guy?
- MR. KELLHOFER: Correct. Avin Brown and another
- individual, Jordan.
- 18 **THE COURT:** This quy is not a conspirator?
- 19 MR. KELLHOFER: No. We didn't charge him as a conspirator.
- 20 And I think that's what goes back to point that this
- individual, we had a plethora of evidence of his mindset,
- 22 of his belief that those --
- THE COURT: Of this gentleman's belief?
- 24 MR. KELLHOFER: Of this gentleman right here.
- 25 THE COURT: You can't commit a crime by belief.

MR. KELLHOFER: Correct. And that is why he was not charged in that conspiracy. And I think that's why this is an excellent example of protecting those rights for him. The Government made that decision, you know what, he had those beliefs but he was not acting on them like Avin Brown had by traveling. And the plan between the two of them specifically was Avin Brown would get over there and then essentially make contact.

THE COURT: He wasn't Mirandized because he wasn't in custody, correct?

MR. KELLHOFER: Who are we speaking of, Avin Brown?

THE COURT: This gentleman.

MR. KELLHOFER: Yeah, absolutely. He was not in custody.

THE COURT: So he was interviewed but not Mirandized?

MR. KELLHOFER: Correct.

THE COURT: But technically he wasn't in custody, so he was not advised that a statement that was untrue that the agent considered to be material would be actionable?

MR. KELLHOFER: Actually, the Government took that step and said you know what, we are going to specifically inform you of that. He was specifically told, anything you tell us, if you lie to us, that's a federal offense. As a matter of fact, at the end of the interview if there's anything you said that you want to change, take back, add, please do so now. He did not. So he was advised.

- **THE COURT:** Where was he interviewed?
- 2 MR. KELLHOFER: The interview took place in Kentucky at --
- 3 if I may ask the agent here. I want to say I believe it
- 4 was his father's home, Your Honor. I'm not sure. It was a
- 5 personal residence where he was located at the time in
- 6 Kentucky at that moment.
- 7 THE COURT: And who did the interview?
- 8 MR. KELLHOFER: The interview was by FBI agents, Your
- 9 Honor.

1

- 10 **THE COURT:** Special Agents?
- MR. KELLHOFER: Yes. Two Special Agents that were out of
- that office located there, yes. And, like I said, they
- sort of had to act quickly given that one individual had
- 14 traveled. We knew that Mr. Moore had these beliefs, that
- 15 he had contact --
- 16 THE COURT: So this was the day before you were going to
- arrest the other people?
- 18 MR. KELLHOFER: The day of the arrest, Your Honor.
- 19 **THE COURT:** The day of the arrest?
- 20 MR. KELLHOFER: Yes. So what I would like to highlight for
- 21 you is that --
- THE COURT: You had made a commitment to arrest the other
- 23 people, hadn't you, irrespective of his input?
- 24 MR. KELLHOFER: Oh, absolutely, yeah. Absolutely. Given
- 25 the travel that was taking place, certainly. I think

insofar as where we were and what was going on with this individual and where we decided to act, I think there was some --

THE COURT: Why did you interview him if you had already made a commitment to arrest the other people?

MR. KELLHOFER: Why did we interview him?

THE COURT: Yes.

2.3

MR. KELLHOFER: Hopefully to obtain -- at that time the gig is up and we're no longer covert and anyone associated with that individual whom we believe had that mindset and intent it was our belief that we had better act now.

THE COURT: And this is not entrapment?

MR. KELLHOFER: No, absolutely not.

THE COURT: How come?

MR. KELLHOFER: Well, I think entrapment for one, the Government is the individual who establishes the opportunity, the crime mindset. In this instance this individual was in contact with other individuals prior to being in contact with our source. So in our view -- but that would actually not even go towards the lie. I don't even -- I'm not even entirely sure how you would trap someone to lie. I would have to think that one through, Your Honor. But here, here's some interesting facts, Your Honor. So on 11 October 2013 there's a recording, and Mr. Moore states, we've got to organize ourselves. The group

at that point appoints Mr. Moore as the spiritual leader. They appoint Brown, the individual who traveled and had contacts overseas, they appoint him as the international relations, in charge of international relations. appoint Jordan, who is a pretty buff guy as the physical fitness -- handling physical fitness. And then they appoint the CHS as the Board of Transportation because he Towards the end of the conversation Moore had a car. affirms that even this results in a horrible death and he dies tomorrow that he would go to heaven. On 22 October, shortly later, there's again another meeting and Moore states that he wants to make sure to get into good enough shape so he can put his hands through the chest of a kafir, a non-Muslim. And this is where it takes us into -- we have these beliefs, but do have the action. October, just a day later, Moore then meets with the CHS and Jordan and during that recording there's a discussion about the meaning of an Arabic term Hijra. And it's often utilized from my experience, Your Honor, in these cases covertly as attempting to get to jihad. And so they're discussing actually Hijra and in truth just as a pilgrimage that is taken by Muslims. But he, Moore, is explaining to Jordan what the term means and he states, well, it actually means migration but Moore states but the reason we're going is jihad. And then he states I'm not at that point now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So this is why I say the evidence displays the mindset but we -- to be perfectly honest at this point, in our view, it was wishy-washy. And it simply wasn't enough to move forward on the conspiracy charge. So he was interviewed. During that interview he denied that he ever entertained any discussions with anyone wanting to wage jihad. stated that he had never talked with Brown in a conversation even peripherally discussing anyone overseas, killing anyone overseas, waging violent jihad or traveling for that purpose. He stated he had not participated in any group where he had been a member for that purpose. stated that he had never heard Brown or Jordan discussing violent jihad or travel. He then went on to flesh that out and say, well, on the other hand he had heard Brown talk about going to Yemmen just to get a bride. He stated that he never engaged in any activity involving weapons with Jordan when in truth he had been in Jordan's home and had been shown how to take apart an assault rifle. As a matter of fact, rather than just say, no, he said, well, you know, at Jordan's house I had seen a sword. That's all. denied that he had ever entertained any discussions of this So I think it was a multitude of lies when he had matter. spent a substantial amount of time with these individuals. Brown -- when Brown proffered with us after having pled quilty after a number of months, or after a brief speak

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with us after a number of months, he stated that he had a number of discussions with Moore, with Moore present, that Moore would have them take out the batteries of their cell phones because eagle eyes were listening, referring to the Federal Government. He stated that during this time they had spoke often of going overseas for jihad and used the term fisabilillah. I'm probably pronouncing that incorrect. It's f-i-s-a-b-i-l-i-l-a-h. But it was a covert method of basically saying going overseas for this violent purpose, for what they term, their word, jihad. They were speaking code because they knew that they would get arrested if they were caught doing this. And that Mr. Moore was aware that they were seeking passports at the time as well for this purpose. These things additionally later on, as Mr. Moore stated, he did tell us when he spoke to us as well. Jordan additionally proffered and stated as much, the same things. Now with regard to Brown he did specifically say that there had been a point where they had sort of broken apart, that Mr. Moore had, in fact, withdrawn from them. And the reason for this, according to Mr. Brown, was that Brown believed that this going overseas, this joining in this, this establishing this caliphate, this engaging in violence and by violence, I mean warfare, to establish this. That doing this was obligatory upon every Muslim. That was Brown.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Moore felt that while it was a good thing it was not obligatory to fight. And so they had a falling out, if you will. And Mr. Moore then began to sort of separate himself. Jordan states that he was -- Jordan himself was just so blunt about things that he actually feared that -- he believed that Moore had sort of separated himself because Moore made him believe that Jordan was in fact an informant, because Jordan was apparently so blunt about things and Moore was much more of a careful individual, take the batteries out of phones and things like that.

So when it's portrayed, Your Honor, as, hey, did you know these guys, you know, talked anything about going overseas or jihad stuff, no, I didn't know that. That's a little different. After this individual lied to us, thwarted our efforts. And when I say -- I say thwarted our efforts, because these individuals fortunately did not go to trial. So I can't tell you what any one piece of evidence that he had had he been an honest individual and a witness for us would have meant. I submit that it certainly would have been material to have a non-government insider who heard these things, the things that he subsequently was honest and told us. Yeah, that is absolutely material. And it would have been material had this gone to trial. Moreover, I believe it absolutely would have been material to these individuals pleading much

earlier when in fact there was substantial litigation involving classified matters, involving a whole host of motions that took place that I think to some degree would have had an effect on those other defendants had they known Mr. Moore was testifying against them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So all in all, Your Honor, I want to step back however and say, thank God, that Allah, however you want to put it, this individual did withdraw from that mindset. applaud that. I think that takes great strength of character to step away from that. However, during this period of time he was wholeheartedly within it, was not somebody who appeared to be a new Muslim just getting some bad advise, rather was advising, lied to the Government and chose not to be open and honest and help and assist the Government. However, once confronted -- and I say he was again interviewed approximately a year later after essentially we had been able to secure plea agreements from the other individuals, and we had been able to proffer with those individuals. At the completion of those proffers, approximately four months later, Mr. Moore was again approached. He again continued his lies. He was then shown, hey, here's an audio recording. When he was presented with the evidence he did a 180 degree turn and I think that at that point recognized it. Many individuals And he was at that point then honest. wouldn't.

subsequently pled quilty to an information, which was of great assistance to the Government. I believe that was a help, and I believe that that shows his mindset in trying to right wrongs to some degree. He did proffer with the Government. He did not rise to the level of a 5K. information already known to the Government. But I think of note overall, Your Honor, it is the Government's position that the guidelines -- they're only guideposts; we recognize that. 3553 is truly, I think, the most applicable and insofar as punishment being appropriate, insofar as establishing the respect for the law and that this individual recognize that fully. And that those who see what occurs recognize that fully. I think that of great value. And I think the quidelines at least to some degree reflect that. We do believe that the guidelines are appropriate. Insofar as a recommendation, Your Honor, I think that the quideline range is where Your Honor should I recognize what he has done though, however; our recommendation, therefore, would be at the bottom end of the guideline range, I believe 46 months, Your Honor. THE COURT: What happened, if anything, to the two men, Jordan and Brown? Have they been sentenced? MR. KELLHOFER: They have not been sentenced, Your Honor. Their sentencings have been -- have been prolonged for a period of time. They actually --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- THE COURT: Are they connected to this case? Are they in front of Judge Flanagan?
- 3 MR. KELLHOFER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay. Are they ready for sentencing?
- 5 MR. KELLHOFER: No. I would rather not get into the
- 6 reasons for it.
- 7 **THE COURT:** No, that's fine. They don't have pre-sentence reports that are awaiting a scheduling date?
- 9 MR. KELLHOFER: No. They've been pushed off until January,
 10 Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. Okay.
- 12 MR. KELLHOFER: If Your Honor has no further questions.
- 13 THE COURT: No, I don't have any other questions.
- 14 MR. KELLHOFER: Thank you, sir.
- MR. McCOPPIN: May I, Your Honor?
- 16 **THE COURT:** Yes.
- MR. McCOPPIN: You asked the Government, why did you go interview this man when you already knew you were going to
- arrest those other two people. My professional opinion --
- 20 I've been coming to this courthouse for the last 20 some
- years -- is that they were trying to solicit a lie.
- Because they didn't have the factual basis to charge him
- with anything else, but the Government believed he could be
- culpable for these beliefs or discussions not rising to
- conspiracy and that if he was untruthful that would be a

way that the Government could charge him with a 1001 violation and still pursue him. I don't know that to be the case, and I don't speak for the Government. But my professional opinion is -- and they already know they're going to get the other two guys -- and they confront him with these questions, and they, yes, identify themselves as FBI agents but don't say lying to us is a federal offense until after he has answered the questions and only at the end of the interview, do you want to tell us or change any of your statements because lying to us is a federal offense. At that point he didn't change anything. He made a false statement. And so to their credit to whatever extent appropriate the federal agents did advise him at the end of that conversation in 2014. But this non-conspiracy mindset discussion that we're talking about, according to the other two people, he withdrew from. And the statements that the Government refers to are back in October of 2013, approximately six months before the gentleman was about to fly overseas. So whatever mindset the three of them had, Mr. Moore clearly had withdrawn from it. I would ask you to consider a probationary sentence.

THE COURT: We'll take a brief recess.

(Court recess 3:10 - 3:20 p.m.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

THE COURT: I've considered all the arguments and the presentence report and the statement of the Defendant and the

factual basis for the plea. While the report was accepted establishing a Level 23, Category I as the guideline, it could also in the alternative be as low as a Level 6 for false statement to the Government agency and with a twolevel reduction would be a Level 4, Category I, which would be a zero to six range. So I have -- those are the two extremes within which the Court could find guidance in a quideline. And under 3553(a), it doesn't appear to me, based on the offense that a custodial sentence would be necessary. And I think that a sentence of probation with community service would reflect the seriousness of the offense of making a false statement, but also promote respect for the law. That there doesn't appear to be any need to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant as the defendant has disavowed any militant behavior or attitude or future. And I think that under the fourth provision, providing for the defendant's vocational training and educational training that a custodial sentence would not be appropriate. And I think that this sentence will send a sufficient message to protect the public from further crimes. So I will sentence the defendant to three years of probation on the condition that he perform 250 hours of community service and not violate any federal, state or local law, not associate with any person involved in any military or militant action that would be a threat

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to peace or to the United States and not otherwise violate any federal, state or local law. And pay a special assessment of \$100.00. And either side can appeal that. That's all.

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA) C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-I-O-N COUNTY OF PERQUIMANS

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the aboveentitled matter.

Sandra A. Graham, CVRM

October 28, 2015

Court Reporter & Notary Public Notary Public Number: 100401 Notary Public Number: 19940140086